

- United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,677	05/10/2001	Franco Cesano	2726-4848US 5017	
24247 7	590 04/17/2003			
TRASK BRITT			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	7
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A3-			
	Application	n No.	pplicant(s)			
	09/852,677		CESANO, FRANCO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Tim Heitbri		1722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{07}$	<u> April 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is r	on-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	າ.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 is/are withdrawn	n from consid	eration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have beer	received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have beer	received in Applicati	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/852,677

Art Unit: 1722

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not one paragraph.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Atake or WO99/20450.

Both references disclose equipment for hot moulding of articles made of thermoplastic material, comprising a first half-mould (12,28 respectively) and a second mold half (25,30 respectively) which are free to move with respect to one another between an open and closed position and which are provided with respective moulding surfaces designed to carry out hot moulding of at least one plate (S, 12 respectively), at least one injection-moulding seat (16, 16 respectively) communicating with the

Application/Control Number: 09/852,677

Art Unit: 1722

respective hot-moulding surface, at least one injection channel (13, 44 respectively) being provided for injecting plastic material inside said seat. The injection molding seats presents a narrow section.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atake or WO99/20450 as applied to claims 5 and 6 and in further view of either Nakamura et al. or Ballester or Tajiri et al. or Starkey or Burger et al.

While the primary references do not disclose a slider movable between an operating and non-operating position and defining a part of the injection molding seat, the secondary references disclose movable sliders to be conventional in the art where the sliders form a part of an injection moulding seat. See Nakamura et al. Figs. 1 and 2, Ballester Figs. 6 and 7, Tajiri et al. Figs. 1a,1b,2, Starkey Figs. 2 and 3 and Burger et al. Figs. 1 and 2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 703-308-3789. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Wanda Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/852,677

Art Unit: 1722

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Tim Heitbrink
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1722

4-15-03

twh April 15, 2003